

Economically Improving Message-Unilaterally-Transmitted Quantum Secure Direct Communication to Realize Two-Way Communication

Zhan-jun Zhang¹, Zhong-xiao Man¹ and Yong Li²

¹ Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China

² Department of Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China

Email: zhangzj@wipm.ac.cn

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

We present a subtle idea to economically improve message-unilaterally-transmitted quantum secure direct communication (QSDC) protocols to realize two-way secure direct communication.

PACS: 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ud

Suppose Alice and Bob securely share a secret key. When the message sender, say, Alice, wants to send her secret messages to Bob, she can first use the secret key to encrypt her secret messages, then she publicly sends the encrypted messages to the message receiver, say, Bob, via a classical channel. After receiving the encrypted messages, by using the secret key Bob can decrypt the encrypted messages to securely obtain the secret messages which Alice wants to send him. According to the classical one-time-pad method, if the secret key is used one time, then both the secret messages and the secret key are secure. Quantum key distribution (QKD) is an ingenious application of quantum mechanics, in which two remote legitimate users (Alice and Bob) establish a shared secret key through the transmission of quantum signals. Hence, much attention has been focused on QKD after the pioneering work of Bennett and Brassard published in 1984 [1]. Till now there have been many theoretical QKDs [2-20].

Recently, a novel concept, quantum secure direct communication (QSDC) was proposed [15,16,19]. In those QSDC protocols [15,16,19], secret messages can be transmitted directly without first creating a secret key to encrypt them and quantum mechanics ensures the security of the transmitted secret messages.

Nevertheless, so far all the QSDC protocols [15,16,19,21] are message-unilaterally-transmitted communication protocols, alternatively, two legitimate parties can not simultaneously transmit their different secret messages to each other (dialogue) in a set of quantum communication device. In general, convenient bidirectional simultaneous mutual communications (dialogue) are very useful and usually desired. In fact, the goal to realize a two-way secure direct communication can be achieved by adopting the strategy of using two sets of message-unilaterally-transmitted QSDC device between two parties. Later in this short paper, we will show the above mentioned strategy of two-way secure direct communication is uneconomical and we will present a subtle idea to economically improve a message-unilaterally-transmitted QSDC to realize two-way secure direct communication.

Suppose that there is a message-unilaterally-transmitted QSDC device between Alice and Bob and Alice can transmit her secret messages to Bob via the quantum channel in terms of the QSDC protocol. The security of Alice's secret message transmission is ensured by the quantum mechanics in the QSDC protocol. After Alice's secret message transmission, if both Alice and Bob further take the secret messages as a shared secret key, then Bob can use it to encrypt his secret messages and then transmits publicly his encrypted messages to Alice via a classical channel. Since Alice knows the so-called secret key, she can extract Bob's secret messages after receiving Bob's encrypted messages. According to the classical one-time-pad method, during this transmission via a classical channel, both the so-called secret key and Bob's secret messages are secure. Hence in our improved scheme, all the secret messages can be transmitted securely, either via a quantum channel or via a classical channel. Moreover, the strategy of message authentication can be used to protect the secret messages transmitted either from Alice to Bob via the quantum channel or from Bob to Alice via the classical channel. To summarize, our subtle idea is to let both parties take the secret messages securely transmitted from Alice to Bob via a quantum channel in terms of a message-unilaterally-transmitted QSDC protocol as their shared secret key and then Bob directly and securely communicates with Alice via a public classical channel. By the way, in fact, in the QSDC protocols [15,16,19,21] classical channel is also employed. In our improved scheme we only use it more frequently.

So far, one believes that provided that a secret key is securely shared by two parties in advance the communication cost between them via a public classical channel is much cheaper than the QSDC cost via a quantum channel, according to the present-day technologies. Hence, as mentioned before, the strategy of using two sets of message-unilaterally-transmitted QSDC device between two parties to realize a two-way secure communication is uneconomical. In contrast, our strategy is optimal.

Incidentally, in our previous preprints [22,23], the subtle idea has also been used without intention. That is, in the works, Bob introduces the additional unitary operations and at last publicly announces his measurement outcomes. The essence of his actions is first to use Alice's secret message as secret key to encrypt his secret messages and then to communicate with Alice via a public classical channel. According to our above description of our subtle idea, one can see that the additional unitary operations in Refs. 22 and 23 are completely unnecessary. Bob can directly perform a Bell-state measurement, then he uses the measurement result as a secret key to encrypt his secret messages.

Although our subtle idea is simple, it is really very important for it can be applied to any message-unilaterally-transmitted QSDC protocols to realize two-way secure direct communications. Now only several QSDC protocols [15,16,19,21] have been proposed and they are all message-unilaterally-transmitted protocols. Hence, they all can be improved.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10304022.

References

- [1] C. H. Bennett and G. Brassard, in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computers, Systems and Signal Processings, Bangalore, India* (IEEE, New York, 1984), p175.
- [2] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).
- [3] C. H. Bennett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3121 (1992).
- [4] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, and N.D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 557(1992).
- [5] L. Goldenberg and L. Vaidman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1239 (1995).
- [6] B. Huttner, N. Imoto, N. Gisin, and T. Mor, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 1863 (1995).
- [7] M. Koashi and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2383 (1997).
- [8] W. Y. Hwang, I. G. Koh, and Y. D. Han, Phys. Lett. A **244**, 489 (1998).
- [9] P. Xue, C. F. Li, and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 022317 (2002).
- [10] S. J. D. Phoenix, S. M. Barnett, P. D. Townsend, and K. J. Blow, J. Mod. Opt. **42**, 1155 (1995).
- [11] H. Bechmann-Pasquinucci and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 4238 (1999).
- [12] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. A **61**,052312 (2000); **64**, 024301 (2001).
- [13] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5635 (2000).
- [14] G. P. Guo, C. F. Li, B. S. Shi, J. Li, and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 042301 (2001).
- [15] A. Beige, B. G. Englert, C. Kurtsiefer, and H.Weinfurter, Acta Phys. Pol. A **101**, 357 (2002).
- [16] Kim Bostrom and Timo Felbinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 187902 (2002).
- [17] G. L. Long and X. S. Liu, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032302 (2002).
- [18] F. G. Deng and G. L. Long, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042315 (2003).
- [19] F. G. Deng, G. L. Long, and X. S. Liu, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042317 (2003).
- [20] N. Gisin, G. Ribordy, W. Tittel, and H. Zbinden, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74** 145 (2002).
- [21] F. G. Deng and G. L. Long, *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 052319 (2004).
- [22] Z. J. Zhang, e-print quant-ph/0403186.
- [22] Z. J. Zhang and Z. X. Man, e-print quant-ph/0403215.